

## REMARKS


By this paper, claim 3 has been canceled and claims 5, 6, and 9-27 have been identified as being withdrawn from consideration. Accordingly, claims 1, 2, 4, 7 and 8 have been presented for examination.

In the outstanding Office action dated November 14, 2005, claims 1, 2, 4 and 7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Wolinsky et al. (6,840,956). Additionally, claim 8 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolinsky et al. Claim 3 was rejected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but was deemed allowable if rewritten in independent form and claims 5, 6 and 9-27 were withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claims. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections of the claims.

Moreover, independent claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the subject matter of allowable claim 3. Accordingly, it is believed that each of pending claims 1, 2, 4, 7 and 8 now are in a condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

FULWIDER PATTON LLP

By:   
John V. Hanley  
Registration No. 38,171

JVH/kst  
Howard Hughes Center  
6060 Center Drive, Tenth Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90045  
Telephone: (310) 824-5555  
Facsimile: (310) 824-9696  
Customer No. 24201  
119823.1